

## GENERALIZATION OF A REAL-ANALYSIS RESULT TO A CLASS OF TOPOLOGICAL VECTOR SPACES

LEONARD T. HUANG

(Received 8 July, 2019)

Abstract. In this paper, we generalize an elementary real-analysis result to a class of topological vector spaces. We also give an example of a topological vector space to which the result cannot be generalized.

### 1. Introduction

This paper draws its inspiration from the following result, which appears to be a popular real-analysis exam problem (see [3], for example):

Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2x_{n+1} - x_n) = x$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ .

An expedient proof can be given using the Stolz-Cesàro Theorem as follows:

**Proof.** Define sequences  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  by

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \quad a_n \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} 2^n x_n \quad \text{and} \quad b_n \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} 2^n.$$

Then  $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is strictly increasing and diverges to  $\infty$ , and as

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1} - a_n}{b_{n+1} - b_n} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{n+1} x_{n+1} - 2^n x_n}{2^{n+1} - 2^n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{n+1} x_{n+1} - 2^n x_n}{2^n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2x_{n+1} - x_n) \\ &= x, \end{aligned}$$

the Stolz-Cesàro Theorem immediately tells us that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = x$ .  $\square$

A natural question to ask is: Is this result still valid if  $\mathbb{R}$  is replaced by another topological vector space? The answer happens to be affirmative for a wide class of topological vector spaces that includes all the locally convex ones.

We will also exhibit a topological vector space for which the result is not valid, which indicates that it is rather badly behaved.

In this paper, we adopt the following conventions:

- $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of all positive integers, and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $[n] \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \mathbb{N}_{\leq n}$ .
- All vector spaces are over the field  $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 28A20, 46A16, 60A10.

*Key words and phrases:* Topological vector spaces, locally convex topological vector spaces,  $p$ -homogeneous seminorms, random variables, convergence in probability.

## 2. Good Topological Vector Spaces

Recall that a topological vector space is an ordered pair  $(V, \tau)$ , where:

- $V$  is a vector space, and
- $\tau$  is a topology on  $V$ , under which vector addition and scalar multiplication are continuous operations.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(V, \tau)$  be a topological vector space, and  $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  a net in  $V$ . Then  $x \in V$  is called a  $\tau$ -limit for  $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  — which we write as  $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \xrightarrow{\tau} x$  — if and only if for each  $\tau$ -neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ , there is a  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  such that  $x_\lambda \in U$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\geq \lambda_0}$ .

**Remark 2.2.** We do not assume that  $\tau$  is a Hausdorff topology on  $V$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A topological vector space  $(V, \tau)$  is said to be *good* if and only if any sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $V$  has a  $\tau$ -limit whenever  $(2x_{n+1} - x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a  $\tau$ -limit.

A topological vector space that is not good is said to be *bad*.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $(V, \tau)$  be a topological vector space, and  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence in  $V$  such that  $(2x_{n+1} - x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\tau} x$  for some  $x \in V$ . Then either

- $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\tau} x$  also, or
- $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has no  $\tau$ -limit.

**Proof.** If  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has no  $\tau$ -limit, then we are done.

Next, suppose that  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\tau} y$  for some  $y \in V$ . Then

$$(2x_{n+1} - x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\tau} 2y - y = y,$$

so  $y$  is a  $\tau$ -limit for  $(2x_{n+1} - x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in addition to  $x$ . It follows that

$$(0_V)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = ((2x_{n+1} - x_n) - (2x_{n+1} - x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\tau} x - y,$$

which yields

$$(y)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (0_V + y)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\tau} (x - y) + y = x.$$

Therefore, any  $\tau$ -neighborhood of  $x$  also contains  $y$ , giving us  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\tau} x$ .  $\square$

Proposition 2.4 tells us: To prove that a topological vector space  $(V, \tau)$  is good, it suffices to prove that for each sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $V$ , if  $(2x_{n+1} - x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\tau} x$  for some  $x \in V$ , then  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\tau} x$  also.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $p \in (0, 1]$ . A  $p$ -homogeneous seminorm on a vector space  $V$  is then a function  $\sigma : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  with the following properties:

- (1) **The Triangle Inequality:**  $\sigma(x + y) \leq \sigma(x) + \sigma(y)$  for all  $x, y \in V$ .
- (2)  **$p$ -Homogeneity:**  $\sigma(kx) = |k|^p \sigma(x)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $x \in V$ .

**Remark 2.6.** • By letting  $k = 0$  and  $x = 0_V$  in (2), we find that  $\sigma(0_V) = 0$ .

- A 1-homogeneous seminorm is the same as a seminorm in the ordinary sense.
- No extra generality is gained by postulating that  $\sigma(kx) \leq |k|^p \sigma(x)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $x \in V$ . If  $k \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$ , then replacing  $k$  by  $\frac{1}{k}$  gives us the reverse inequality, which leads to equality; if  $k = 0$ , then equality automatically holds.

- We do not consider  $p \in (2, \infty)$  because

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in V : \quad 2^p \sigma(x) &= \sigma(2x) && \text{(By } p\text{-homogeneity.)} \\ &= \sigma(x+x) \\ &\leq 2\sigma(x), && \text{(By the Triangle Inequality.)} \end{aligned}$$

so if  $\sigma$  is non-trivial, then  $2^p \leq 2$ , which implies that  $p \in (0, 1]$  if  $p \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .

Let  $V$  be a vector space, and  $\mathcal{S}$  a collection of  $p$ -homogeneous seminorms on  $V$  where  $p \in (0, 1]$  may not be fixed. Define a function  $\mathcal{U} : V \times \mathcal{S} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(V)$  by

$$\forall x \in V, \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{S}, \forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} : \quad \mathcal{U}_{x,\sigma,\epsilon} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \{y \in V \mid \sigma(y-x) < \epsilon\}.$$

Then let  $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}$  denote the topology on  $V$  that is generated by the sub-base

$$\{\mathcal{U}_{x,\sigma,\epsilon} \in \mathcal{P}(V) \mid (x,\sigma,\epsilon) \in V \times \mathcal{S} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}.$$

**Proposition 2.7.** *The following statements about  $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}$  hold:*

- (1)  $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}$  is a vector-space topology on  $V$ .
- (2) Let  $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a net in  $V$ . Then for each  $x \in V$ , we have

$$(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathcal{S}}} x \quad \iff \quad \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sigma(x_\lambda - x) = 0 \text{ for all } \sigma \in \mathcal{S}.$$

**Proof.** One only has to imitate the proof in the case of locally convex topological vector spaces that the initial topology generated by a collection of seminorms is a vector-space topology. We refer the reader to Chapter 1 of [2] for details.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.8.**  *$(V, \tau_{\mathcal{S}})$  is a good topological vector space.*

**Proof.** Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $V$ . Suppose that  $(2x_{n+1} - x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathcal{S}}} x$  for some  $x \in V$ . Then without loss of generality, we may assume that  $x = 0_V$ . To see why, define a new sequence  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $V$  by  $y_n \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} x_n - x$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \quad 2y_{n+1} - y_n &= 2(x_{n+1} - x) - (x_n - x) \\ &= 2x_{n+1} - 2x - x_n + x \\ &= (2x_{n+1} - x_n) - x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(2y_{n+1} - y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = ((2x_{n+1} - x_n) - x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathcal{S}}} x - x = 0_V,$$

so if we can prove that  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathcal{S}}} 0_V$ , then  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathcal{S}}} x$  as desired.

Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , and suppose that  $\sigma$  is  $p$ -homogeneous for some  $p \in (0, 1]$ . Then by (2) of Proposition 2.7, there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq N} : \quad \sigma(2x_{n+1} - x_n) = \sigma((2x_{n+1} - x_n) - 0_V) < (2^p - 1)\epsilon.$$

By  $p$ -homogeneity, we thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \forall k \in \mathbb{N} : \quad \sigma(2^k x_{N+k} - 2^{k-1} x_{N+k-1}) &= \sigma(2^{k-1} (2x_{N+k} - x_{N+k-1})) \\ &= 2^{(k-1)p} \sigma(2x_{N+k} - x_{N+k-1}) \\ &< 2^{(k-1)p} (2^p - 1)\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Next, a telescoping sum in conjunction with the Triangle Inequality yields

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N} : \quad \sigma(2^m x_{N+m} - x_N) = \sigma\left(\sum_{k=1}^m (2^k x_{N+k} - 2^{k-1} x_{N+k-1})\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \sigma(2^k x_{N+k} - 2^{k-1} x_{N+k-1}) \\
&< \sum_{k=1}^m 2^{(k-1)p} (2^p - 1) \epsilon \\
&= (2^{mp} - 1) \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by  $p$ -homogeneity again,

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall m \in \mathbb{N}: \quad \sigma\left(x_{N+m} - \frac{1}{2^m} x_N\right) &= \sigma\left(\frac{1}{2^m} (2^m x_{N+m} - x_N)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{mp}} \sigma(2^m x_{N+m} - x_N) \\
&< \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{mp}}\right) \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the Triangle Inequality and  $p$ -homogeneity once more, we get

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}: \quad \sigma(x_{N+m}) < \sigma\left(\frac{1}{2^m} x_N\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{mp}}\right) \epsilon = \frac{1}{2^{mp}} \sigma(x_N) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{mp}}\right) \epsilon.$$

Consequently,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(x_n) = \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(x_{N+m}) \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{1}{2^{mp}} \sigma(x_N) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{mp}}\right) \epsilon \right] = \epsilon.$$

As  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(x_n - 0_V) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(x_n) = 0.$$

Finally, as  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}$  is arbitrary, (2) of Proposition 2.7 says that  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathcal{S}}} 0_V$ .  $\square$

By Proposition 2.8, the class of good topological vector spaces includes:

- All locally convex topological vector spaces.
- All  $L^p$ -spaces for  $p \in (0, 1)$ , which are generally not locally convex.

In the next section, we will give an example of a bad topological vector space.

### 3. A Bad Topological Vector Space from Probability Theory

Before we present the example, let us first fix some probabilistic terminology.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space.

- A measurable function from  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  to  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$  is called a *random variable*.<sup>1</sup>
- The  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of random variables on  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  is denoted by  $\text{RV}(\Omega, \Sigma)$ .
- Let  $(X_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a net in  $\text{RV}(\Omega, \Sigma)$ , and let  $X \in \text{RV}(\Omega, \Sigma)$ . Then  $(X_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is said to *converge in probability* to  $X$  (for  $\mathbb{P}$ ) if and only if for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega \mid |X_\lambda(\omega) - X(\omega)| > \epsilon\}) = 0,$$

in which case, we write  $(X_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} X$ .

The following theorem says that convergence in probability is convergence with respect to a vector-space topology on the vector space of random variables.

<sup>1</sup> $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the standard topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space, and define a pseudo-metric  $\rho_{\mathbb{P}}$  on  $\text{RV}(\Omega, \Sigma)$  by*

$$\forall X, Y \in \text{RV}(\Omega, \Sigma) : \quad \rho_{\mathbb{P}}(X, Y) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|X - Y|}{1 + |X - Y|} \, d\mathbb{P}.$$

*Then the topology  $\tau_{\mathbb{P}}$  on  $\text{RV}(\Omega, \Sigma)$  generated by  $\rho_{\mathbb{P}}$  has the following properties:*

- $\tau_{\mathbb{P}}$  is a vector-space topology.
- Let  $(X_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a net in  $\text{RV}(\Omega, \Sigma)$ . Then for each  $X \in \text{RV}(\Omega, \Sigma)$ , we have

$$(X_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} X \quad \iff \quad (X_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathbb{P}}} X.$$

**Proof.** Please refer to Problems 6, 10 and 14 in Section 5.2 of [1]. □

Now, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , define a probability measure  $\mathbf{c}_k$  on  $([k], \mathcal{P}([k]))$  by

$$\forall A \subseteq [k] : \quad \mathbf{c}_k(A) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \frac{\text{Card}(A)}{k},$$

and let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mathbb{P})$  denote the product probability space  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} ([k], \mathcal{P}([k]), \mathbf{c}_k)$ . Define a sequence  $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\Sigma$  by

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \quad S_n \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} [k] \mid \mathbf{v}(n) = 1 \right\}.$$

Then  $\mathbb{P}(S_n) = \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and the  $S_n$ 's form mutually-independent events.

Next, define a sequence  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\text{RV}(\Omega, \Sigma)$  by

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \quad Y_n \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} 2^n \chi_{S_n},$$

where  $\chi_{S_n}$  denotes the indicator function of  $S_n$ . Then we get for each  $\epsilon > 0$  that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega \mid |Y_n(\omega)| > \epsilon\}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(S_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} = 0.$$

The first equality is obtained because, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have  $2^n > \epsilon$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough. Consequently,  $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0_{\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}}$ .

Define a new sequence  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\text{RV}(\Omega, \Sigma)$  by

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \quad X_n \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \begin{cases} 0_{\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} & \text{if } n = 1; \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{n-k}} Y_k & \text{if } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Then  $2X_2 - X_1 = 2X_2 = Y_1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2} : \quad 2X_{n+1} - X_n &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{n+1-k}} Y_k - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{n-k}} Y_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{n-k}} Y_k - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{n-k}} Y_k \\ &= Y_n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $(2X_{n+1} - X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0_{\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}}$ .

Gathering what we have thus far, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \quad X_{2n+1} &= \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{2^{2n+1-k}} Y_k \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{2^{2n+1-k}} (2^k \chi_{S_k}) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{2n} 2^{2k-2n-1} \chi_{S_k} \\
&\geq \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} 2^{2k-2n-1} \chi_{S_k} \\
&\geq \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \chi_{S_k} \\
&\geq \chi_{\bigcup_{k=n+1}^{2n} S_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

As the  $S_k$ 's are mutually independent, their complements are as well, so

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\omega \in \Omega \mid |X_{2n+1}(\omega)| > \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right) &\geq \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=n+1}^{2n} S_k\right) \\
&= 1 - \mathbb{P}\left(\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{k=n+1}^{2n} S_k\right) \\
&= 1 - \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{k=n+1}^{2n} \Omega \setminus S_k\right) \\
&= 1 - \prod_{k=n+1}^{2n} \mathbb{P}(\Omega \setminus S_k) \\
&= 1 - \prod_{k=n+1}^{2n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \\
&= 1 - \prod_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{k-1}{k} \\
&= 1 - \frac{n}{2n} \\
&= 1 - \frac{1}{2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  does not converge to  $0_{\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}}$  in probability. By Theorem 3.2:

**Proposition 3.3.**  *$(RV(\Omega, \Sigma), \tau_{\mathbb{P}})$  is therefore a bad topological vector space.*

By Proposition 2.4,  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  does not, in fact, converge in probability at all.

#### 4. Acknowledgments

The author would like to express his deepest thanks to Dr. Jochen Wengenroth for communicating his example above of a bad topological vector space.

Many thanks also go to the referee who meticulously proofread this paper.

#### References

- [1] H. Royden, and P. Fitzpatrick, *Real Analysis*, fourth edition, International Edition, Prentice Hall, NY, 2010.
- [2] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second edition, International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill, Inc., NY, 1991.
- [3] University of Kansas, Qualifying exam in analysis, January 2014.

Leonard T. Huang  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
University of Nevada, Reno  
1664 North Virginia Street  
Reno  
Nevada 89557  
United States of America  
LeonardHuang@unr.edu